Exhibit A

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 18-23538-rdd
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	
12	United States Bankruptcy Court
13	One Bowling Green
14	New York, NY 10004
15	
16	May 8, 2020
17	11:30 AM
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON ROBERT D. DRAIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

Page 2 HEARING re Telephonic Conference (ECF #3539 and #3783) HEARING re Letter: Letter Dated May 1, 2020 on behalf of Community Unit School District 300 in Response to Letter from Luke Barefoot, dated April 27, 2020, Requesting Telephonic Status Conference (related document(s) 7872) Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
4	Attorneys for Transform Holdco
5	One Liberty Plaza
6	New York, NY 10006
7	
8	BY: LUKE BAREFOOT (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	
10	ROBBINS SCHWARTZ, NICHOLAS, LIFTON & TAYLOR, LTD.
11	Attorneys for School District 300
12	631 E. Boughton Road, Suite 200
13	Bolingbrook, IL 60440
14	
15	BY: KENNETH M. FLOREY (TELEPHONICALLY)
16	KATIE ZUMALT-ROGERS (TELEPHONICALLY)
17	
18	GENSBURG CALANDRIELLO & KANTER, P.C.
19	Attorneys for School District 300
20	200 West Adams Street, 2425
21	Chicago, IL 60606
22	
23	BY: MATTHEW T. GENSBURG (TELEPHONICALLY)
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	ARCHER & GREINER, P.C.
2	Attorneys for School District 300
3	630 Third Avenue
4	New York, NY 10017
5	
6	BY: ALLEN G. KADISH (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	VEDDER PRICE P.C.
9	Attorneys for the Village
10	1633 Broadway, 31st Floor
11	New York, NY 1019
12	
13	BY: MICHAEL SCHEIN (TELEPHONICALLY)
14	
15	LAW OFFICE OF KORY ATKINSON
16	Attorneys for School District 300
17	236 W. Lake Street, Suite 100
18	Bloomingdale, IL 60108
19	
20	BY: KORY A. ATKINSON (TELEPHONICALLY)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Transcript of May 8 2020 Hearing Pg 6 of 34

PROCEEDINGS

MR. BAREFOOT: Good morning. This is Luke Barefoot from Cleary Gottlieb for Transform Holdco for the 11:30 status conference.

MR. FLOREY: Good morning. This is Ken Florey and Katie Zumalt, on behalf of Robbins Schwartz, and we represent School District 300.

CLERK: Good morning, everyone. My name is Ry. I'm one of Judge Drain's clerks. I understand we have a conference here for 11:30. The Judge just finished his a.m. calendar, so he will be joining us right around 11:30 in just a few short minutes. So please bear with us. In the meantime, I just want to thank everyone for your cooperation (indiscernible).

Please be mindful (indiscernible) if you haven't participated via Court Solutions before, please be mindful of your mute buttons. Keep your (indiscernible) on mute when not speaking to avoid any background noise. And please make sure to unmute yourself when you do speak. And each time you do speak, please make sure to state your name so that that way, the Judge, all participants and (indiscernible) the recorder for the transcriber can keep track of who is speaking. Thank you very much once again. And the Judge shall be (indiscernible) shortly.

> MR. BAREFOOT: Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	THE COURT: Hello. This is Judge Drain, and we're
2	here in In Re Sears Holding Corp., et al, and more
3	specifically, on a conference prompted by a letter dated
4	April 27, 2020 from counsel to Transform Holdco LLC. Mr.
5	Barefoot requested this conference. I have also reviewed a
6	letter dated May 1, 2020 from counsel for Community Unit
7	School District 300, (indiscernible) the School District, by
8	Mr. Florey, in response to Mr. Barefoot's letter.
9	I don't know if there are any updates from those
LO	two letters that I should be told about. Otherwise, we can
L1	just proceed with the conference.
L2	MR. BAREFOOT: Good morning, Your Honor. This is
L3	Luke Barefoot, from Clearly Gottlieb, for Transform Holdco
L 4	LLC and its affiliates. I do not believe that there are any
L5	updates, and the exchange of letters that you just
L 6	referenced accurately sets out the parties' existing
L 7	positions.
L8	THE COURT: Okay.
L9	MR. FLOREY: Good morning, Judge. This is Ken
20	Florey, from Robbins Schwartz, on behalf of School District
21	300. And I agree with Mr. Barefoot that there are no
22	updates to my letter either.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Very well. So I've reviewed
24	the orders. Obviously, there's a lot of history to this
25	underlying matter. But most importantly, there is the

Page 7

amended stipulation and order that I so ordered back on October 23, 2019. I had also separately entered an extension order.

But I think the October 2019 stipulation is the key document, and it very clearly states, as I think the parties recognize, that I so ordered a stipulation that stated that, "In the interest of efficiency, until such claims are adjudicated in the Illinois action" -- which we all know what that action is -- "the Court shall defer ruling on the issue of whether the Debtors or any liquidating trust or liquidating trustee may assume, or assume or assign, the EDA agreement, pursuant to Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, and any disputes involving cure claims associated with the EDA agreement."

Notwithstanding that language, Transform seeks in its letter that I, "determine certain threshold bankruptcy issues" raised by the objection to the assumption and assignment of the EDA agreement to Transform. It then lists those three issues, the first being whether the School District's release or waiver of certain liabilities against the Debtors under the amended stipulation and order would constitute a release or waiver of the same liabilities by the School District against Transform, to the extent Transform becomes the Debtors' assignee of the EDA agreement.

Two, assuming arguendo that any provisions of the
EDA agreement limit Transform's rights to become the
developer under the EDA agreement, whether such provisions
are unenforceable and the assignment provisions under
Section 365(f) of the Bankruptcy Code.

And three, to the extent the School District intends to assert this objection, whether the EDA agreement is a financial accommodation under Section 365(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.

So, as I understand it, those are the issues that Transform would like me, the bankruptcy Judge, to determine, either before or on a parallel track with the Illinois litigation.

Is there anything else that you would like me to interpret, Mr. Barefoot, or just those three issues?

MR. BAREFOOT: Thank you, Your Honor. Luke
Barefoot, from Cleary Gottlieb. Our request is limited to
those three issues.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BAREFOOT: I would be happy to explain the reasons why, notwithstanding the language that the parties negotiated in the stipulation and order, we believe that circumstances have changed and that resolution of these threshold issues on a parallel track -- just to clarify, we're not requesting any sort of a stay or precedence over

the Illinois action, but why, particularly given the ongoing delays and the COVID situation that has impacted the Illinois Court's ability to move forward, we believe that parallel resolution of these issues could materially progress the parties' resolution of these issues, and substantially limit, if not moot, any of the issues that the Illinois Court would have to determine.

THE COURT: Well, clearly, if I determined that 365(f) did not override rights to assign the EDA agreement, separate and apart from the cure issue, or whether I determine that the EDA agreement is a financial accommodation, that would preclude assignment.

I don't know whether either of those issues has actually been asserted or is intended to be asserted, though, as part of the objection.

MR. BAREFOOT: Your Honor, both of those were asserted in the objection. And just to follow along with Your Honor's logic on points two and three, which would be determinative, issue number one, if Transform is correct in its interpretation of the stipulation and order that this Court retains jurisdiction over, that would take off of the table all of the cure cost claims from 2017 and prior, and avoid the need for nitty-gritty discovery and thorny issues of state law on employment numbers going back half a decade.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's leave aside number one

Page II
One of the fundamental components that the School
District has been trying to deal with is trying to avoid the
cost and expense of duplicative litigation. And the School
District
THE COURT: Well, this is not duplicative. How is
this duplicative, these two issues?
MR. GENSBURG: Well, for example, the issue of
whether the Sears or Transform Holdco, or whoever tries to
take over this agreement, complies with the terms of the
agreement, is going to drive largely whether they assume,
elect to assume, or reject the agreement.
If the State Court rules in our favor, as we
anticipate it will, that there's a certain minimum full-time
job equivalent requirement that exists, that Sears has not
met that, is not meeting it, there's a strong possibility
that Transform Holdco's not going want to even assume this
agreement, because the economic benefit of this agreement
simply doesn't exist anymore. And in that situation,
there's no need to deal with the 365(c)(1) issue or the
365(c)(2) issue.
THE COURT: Now, are those issues issues that
involved any facts, any discovery, or can they be dealt with
on review of the contract and applicable law?
MR. GENSBURG: It's going to involve review of the

underlying statute, for example, with respect to 365(c)(1),

Page 12

the anti-assignment language. It's going to deal with the financial combination, understanding the nature and extent of the contract and agreement between the parties with the legislation the EDA agreement contemplated in terms of the tax rebates, and the financial accommodations associated therewith, what the intent of the legislature was in both scenarios.

THE COURT: So --

MR. BAREFOOT: Your Honor, Luke Barefoot, from Cleary Gottlieb. From our perspective, neither of these issues require any discovery. I don't disagree with the School District counsel's position that these would require examination of the legislation and the impact of that under 365(f) and 365(c)(2), in terms of looking at the agreement. But I don't think any of those require factual discovery between the parties.

THE COURT: Well, the only person, or the only side, that is really materially advanced by my determination of these two issues, I would think would be this School District, right? Because if Transform wins on both of these issues, it still has the other issues to deal with. And I would think I could deal with these two issues rather quickly.

But if the School District doesn't want to have that answer right away, I'm not sure why -- you know, that's

just another, you know, maybe a month after you get the answer on the cure amount.

MR. BAREFOOT: Your Honor, this is Luke Barefoot.

Just to respond to that point, if these issues are decided in the School District's favor, it would eliminate the possibility for Transform to reasonably have this agreement and continue to pursue having this agreement assumed and assigned.

So from Transform's perspective, and keeping in mind that under the assumption and assignment procedures order, Transform has the ability at any time to withdraw its designation of assumption and assignment, up until a final order is entered by this Court. We're trying to avoid a lot of potentially thorny and extensive litigation in Illinois, if that litigation is all for naught because of special bankruptcy issues that render that litigation irrelevant.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GENSBURG: Your Honor, Matt Gensburg. But the fundamental problem here is this, is that in the stipulation and order, this is exactly what Paragraph 7 intends to avoid. The School District gave its counsel, Ken Florey, Kory Atkinson and myself, Allen Kadish, directions when we were negotiating the stipulation and order, that one of the things they wanted was to prioritize the litigation, and that the litigation would not take place in two forums

Page 14

simultaneously. That the litigation would take place in Illinois, and only thereafter, if it was still relevant, that the issues of assumption and assignment would we come back to the Bankruptcy Court.

And the language in the stipulation and order, which was a product of substantial negotiation and give and take is abundantly clear on this point. You know, we gave up our right and claim to 2017 EDA funds. We gave up certain claims against the bankruptcy estate. We withdrew with prejudice our objections to the plan confirmation, withdrew with prejudice our ballots, and in return, Sears, you know, forgave its interest in the 2018 EDA funds. And in return, it was agreed that the Court would defer ruling on the assumption and assignment issues under 365.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GENSBURG: And --

THE COURT: Well, I understand all of that. And I also understand that ultimately, this is a -- if this were pressed, this would be a motion under Rule 60, which is hard to win. I get all of that.

Let me turn to the first point. This just depends on the wording of the stipulation, right? Whether the School District's release or waiver of certain liabilities against the Debtors under the amended stipulation and order would constitute a release or waiver of the same liabilities

Page 15 1 by the School District against Transform? 2 MR. GENSBURG: It does, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. So, to me -- look, I 3 appreciate I signed this, but there is no... To me, this is 4 5 the parties' stipulation, which I so ordered. It's really a 6 contract. I don't see why if Transform wants to rely on 7 this language in this stipulation, it can't, in the Illinois 8 action, make a motion for judgment on the pleadings or for 9 partial summary judgment on this issue. 10 MR. BAREFOOT: Your Honor, Luke Barefoot from 11 Cleary --12 THE COURT: Hold on. 13 MR. BAREFOOT: If I could just respond to that 14 point, please? 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. BAREFOOT: I think the issue with that, with 17 our potentially moving and having the Illinois Court 18 interpret the stipulation and order is that this Court 19 retained jurisdiction over the implementation, 20 interpretation and enforcement of that order. So it's not 21 clear to us that the Illinois Court is the correct forum for 22 that issue. And obviously, the other two --23 THE COURT: Well, it's not exclusive jurisdiction. I mean, I don't -- it's just an agreement. 24 25 MR. BAREFOOT: Understood, Your Honor. I think

Page 16 1 just carrying on with that, the other two issues are core 2 bankruptcy issues that I don't think it's anyone's 3 contemplation would ever be brought before the Illinois 4 Court, or could make --5 THE COURT: No, those two aren't. No, I'm just 6 talking about number one. The other two --7 MR. BAREFOOT: Understood. 8 THE COURT: The other two, based on my reading of 9 the stipulation, which covered -- it referred to the 10 objection to the (indiscernible) assignment were to be 11 deferred, not that the School District would be dealing with 12 those in the Illinois action. 13 MR. BAREFOOT: Your Honor --THE COURT: But this one, as far as the amount 14 owing, I don't understand why it isn't a defense that the 15 16 amount isn't owing, because it was raised in a stipulation 17 and order. Now, I understand that the School District will point to other provisions of the order, the stipulation and 18 19 order, that say it's not waived. But I don't -- this one --20 I'm not offended by the Illinois Court dealing with this. 21 And it doesn't need any discovery to deal with it. It can 22 just interpret the language. 23 MR. SCHEIN: Your Honor, if I may, this is Michael 24 Schein, Vedder Price, on behalf of the Village. A couple of 25 things for Your Honor that we wanted to clarify. As it

relates to this stipulation and order, it was very clear, these negotiations that went on were between the Debtors and the School District. And as the stipulation especially shows, the Village was not bound by Paragraph 7 at the District sites; that the only thing the Village was a part of -- and it is important for the Village here, because the EDA is (sound drops) the EDA subsumes the Village -- is that we only became a part of this stipulation for purposes of making the distributions, since we were holding the money for which the Debtors and the School District were settling and compromising claims, rights and otherwise. So we don't believe Paragraph 7 binds on us from the purpose of how the EDA is handled.

Secondly, Your Honor, I think it's important for the Court to understand what's actually going on in the Illinois litigation, because as Your Honor remembers, when you first entered that abstention order, that was when the School District told the Court that they could be back and be done at the State Court in 60 days. We're clearly hardly 60 days, putting aside the impact of the pandemic.

And I think what is important for the Court to understand is we are still very far behind on complaints.

There have been only two -- there have been four amended complaints filed by the District, Your Honor, over time, all of which were required by the State Court motions for leave

to file. And all of the amendments have not been caused by
any delay of any of the other parties but the School
District, who has been required, among other things, to add
necessary parties, and who have actually changed that
original complaint from a declaratory relief to actually
claims specifically against the Village for damages and
otherwise.

So the litigation in the State Court has very much changed from where it started. And we believe that these issues raised by Transform's counsel can very cleanly be handled here, especially in light of the fact, Your Honor, as you may know, that the Circuit Court has further delayed even a hearing on leave for the District to file its fourth amended complaint. And that hearing, which was currently scheduled for May 26, has been delayed for another 30 days for a date for which we are still waiting from the State Court judge.

So those delays impact all the parties, including the Village, as well as Transform and others. And we believe that Transform's efforts to move this in parallel track is an efficient way for all the parties to handle these discrete issues.

MR. FLOREY: Judge, this is Ken Florey.

THE COURT: It may be efficient, but I go back to the language in the order. I mean, it was the School

Page 19 1 District that filed an objection to assumption an 2 assignment, right? 3 MR. SCHEIN: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. So --4 5 MR. SCHEIN: But those issues sit before Your 6 Honor as part of a cure objection and can be handled before 7 Your Honor. And again, the deal for the order of the 8 litigation was an agreement between the Debtors and the School District. It was not --9 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry --11 MR. SCHEIN: -- a binding agreement. 12 THE COURT: I was just -- that's why I began this 13 call way I did. I was told by the School District that this objection to the assumption and assignment includes or 14 15 implicates issues under 365(f) and 365(c)(2). Right? 16 MAN: And (c) (1), Judge. 17 THE COURT: Well, okay. But I'm saying that the 18 objection isn't just a cure objection. It covers the whole 19 thing. 20 MR. BAREFOOT: Your Honor, this is Luke Barefoot. 21 If I could briefly address Your Honor's point concerning the 22 procedural posture, that this amounts to a revisiting of the 23 stipulation and order. We understand and accept that 24 perspective. 25 But I think it's important to keep in mind that

the stipulation really is in the nature of a consent decree
It's the agreement of the parties, rather than some course
of law or ruling of the Court that creates all of the
obligations in the stipulation and order. And under Rule
60(b)(5), there has been a fundamental change in
circumstances between now and October in terms of our
expectations on the length of time that it would take to
make progress on the issues that were teed up in the
Illinois action, as well as the nature of those issues.

There have been four amended complaints since that time, which we wouldn't have anticipated, all of which have delayed the progress of that litigation and changed the nature of the relief that's been sought. And there's also obviously been the impact of the pandemic, which none of us could have predicted, that has left further ambiguity about when the State Court action will ever even progress again.

So I do understand and accept Your Honor's observations and the School District's position. But I believe that under 60(b)(5), we have made out a case for an amendment based on the fact that an enforcement of what the parties had memorialized at that time would no longer be equitable.

MR. FLOREY: Judge, this is Ken Florey, on behalf of School District 300. Both counsels are being disingenuous in describing their part in the delay in the

Illinois case. We have detailed in our letter, and I'm not going to go into it anymore, but I'm not just going to sit here quiet and listen to their claims that the slowdown in the Illinois case has nothing to do with either of those two parties' strategy in litigating the case, as well as you pointed out they could simply file a motion for partial summary judgment on the first issue that they brought before this Court and get a determination by the State Court.

THE COURT: I don't really have an answer yet on that point, Mr. Barefoot.

MR. BAREFOOT: I do have an answer, Your Honor. First off, I don't want to belabor the Court with a hesaid/she-said on the play-by-play in the State Court litigation. But two relevant observations.

First, you know, Transform has met every deadline imposed by the Court in Illinois. Transform answered the amended complaint. It's only been since then that there have been a second, a third, and a fourth amended complaint, that the Court in Illinois has required a ruling on the leave to amend in order to have those progressed.

I also wanted to note that the School District suggests that the Circuit Court requested that the parties agree on discovery. What actually happened was that the Circuit Court merely observed that the parties could engage in discovery, and both Transform and the Village have not

agreed to proceed with discovery until we have an operative complaint before us.

I also just want to just reiterate that I think we all would agree that this action may have progressed, and we might have an operative complaint, and might have an opportunity to raise a motion for judgment on the pleadings or a motion to dismiss to tee up the first issue. But we didn't have that opportunity because we don't have an operative complaint before us or a schedule for responding to that complaint.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm not going to undo the order at this point. If there is a further delay into July of the filing of, as you phrased it, an operative complaint, then you can renew your request. But it seems to me that the core cure issue can be addressed, as point one states, it could be addressed at least, by either Court, and might as well have it be consistent with the -- what was contemplated by the stipulation and order, which is that those underlying cure issues, which include waiver, be decided by the Illinois Court.

As far as the other two points are concerned, I can see a basis for efficiency here. But on the other hand, I don't think it's enough to overcome the sanctity of the stipulation and order, which can be overcome under appropriate circumstances, but I don't just see it here.

And as far as the various amended complaints are concerned, it strikes me that if this issue had been teed up before me, those same issues might have arisen, involving other parties than who were before me at the time, at which, reading between the lines, is why there were that many amended complaints.

So this is just a conference. It's on the record and you can get a transcript from the Clerk's office, or make a request from the Clerk's office. But it's not with regard to a motion. But I'm giving you a pretty clear signal that if you made a motion for relief under Rule 60, I wouldn't grant it under these facts. Now, they may change. It may be that there is still a major problem with the complaint, or the Illinois Court just is sitting on it. I'm sure they're dealing with reopening issues, just as our courts are.

And that's another issue for both of you. I am incredibly busy right now. This will be my second 12-hour day of court time. And your likelihood of getting a hearing on any of these three matters probably would be in August at the earliest.

So I think you will get a prompt answer on points two and three, if and when it's warranted. But you're just as likely to get a prompt answer on one from the Illinois Court as from me. And under the stipulation, it should be

	Page 24
1	from the Illinois Court, unless something dramatically
2	changes.
3	So I'm going to ring off now. I have to prepare
4	for my 2:00 hearing and read a disclosure statement that
5	just came in last night that's about 200 pages long. So
6	I'll talk to you then. Goodbye.
7	All: Thank you, Judge.
8	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
9	12:10 PM)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 25 CERTIFICATION I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Sonya Ledanski Hyde Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 Date: May 13, 2020

[& - ballots]

[& - banots]			1 age 1
&	365 7:12 8:5,8 9:9	addressed 22:15	april 2:5 6:4
& 3:3,10,18 4:1	11:19,20,25 12:14	22:16	archer 4:1
	12:14 14:14 19:15	adjudicated 7:8	arguendo 8:1
1	19:15	advanced 12:18	10:11
1 2:3 6:6 11:19,25	3783 2:1	affiliates 6:14	arisen 23:3
19:16	5	agree 6:21 21:23	aside 9:25 17:20
100 4:17	5 20:5,19	22:4	asking 10:9
10004 1:14	6	agreed 14:13 22:1	assert 8:7
10006 3:6		agreement 7:12	asserted 9:14,14
10017 4:4	60 14:19 17:19,20	7:14,18,25 8:2,3,7	9:17
1019 4:11	20:5,19 23:11	9:9,11 11:9,10,11	assign 7:12 9:9
11501 25:23	60108 4:18	11:17,17 12:3,4	assigned 13:8
11:30 1:17 5:4,10	60440 3:13	12:14 13:6,7	assignee 7:24
5:11	60606 3:21	15:24 19:8,11	assignment 7:18
12 23:18	630 4:3	20:2	8:4 9:12 10:6 12:1
12:10 24:9	631 3:12	al 6:2	13:10,12 14:3,14
13 25:25	7	allen 4:6 13:22	16:10 19:2,14
1633 4:10	7 13:20 17:4,12	ambiguity 20:15	associated 7:14
18-23538 1:3	7872 2:6	amend 21:20	12:5
2	8	amended 7:1,21	assume 7:11,12
2 8:8 11:20 12:14	8 1:16	14:24 17:23 18:14	11:10,11,16
19:15	8 1:10	20:10 21:17,18	assumed 13:7
200 3:12,20 24:5	a	23:1,6	assuming 8:1
2017 9:22 14:8	a.m. 5:10	amendment 20:20	10:11
2018 14:12	ability 9:3 13:11	amendments 18:1	assumption 7:17
2019 7:2,4	abstention 17:17	amount 13:2	10:5 13:10,12
2020 1:16 2:3,5	abundantly 14:7	16:14,16	14:3,14 19:1,14
6:4,6 25:25	accept 19:23	amounts 19:22	atkinson 4:15,20
23 7:2	20:17	answer 12:25 13:2	13:22
236 4:17	accommodation	21:9,11 23:22,24	attorneys 3:4,11
2425 3:20	8:8 9:12	answered 21:16	3:19 4:2,9,16
26 18:15	accommodations	anti 12:1	august 23:20
27 2:5 6:4	12:5	anticipate 11:13	avenue 4:3
2:00 24:4	accurate 25:4	anticipated 20:11	avoid 5:18 9:23
3	accurately 6:16	anymore 11:18	10:20 11:2 13:13
30 18:15	action 7:8,9 9:1	21:2	13:21
300 2:4 3:11,19	10:16,17 15:8	anyone's 16:2	b
4:2,16 5:7 6:7,21	16:12 20:9,16	apart 9:10	b 1:21 20:5,19
20:24 25:22	22:4	appear 10:14	back 7:1 9:24
31st 4:10	adams 3:20	applicable 11:23	14:4 17:18 18:24
330 25:21	add 18:3	appreciate 15:4	background 5:18
3539 2:1	address 19:21	appropriate 22:25	ballots 14:11
		<u> </u>	

bankruptcy 1:1	calandriello 3:18	complaints 17:22	court 1:1,12 5:16
1:12,23 7:13,16	calendar 5:11	17:24 20:10 23:1	6:1,18,23 7:9 8:19
8:5,9,11 13:16	call 19:13	23:6	9:7,8,21,25 10:7,9
14:4,9 16:2	carrying 16:1	complies 11:9	10:20,24,25 11:5
barefoot 2:5 3:8	case 1:3 20:19	components 11:1	11:12,21 12:8,17
5:2,3,25 6:5,12,13	21:1,4,5	compromising	13:13,17 14:4,13
6:21 8:15,16,17	caused 18:1	17:11	14:15,17 15:3,12
8:20 9:16 12:9,9	certain 7:16,20	concerned 22:21	15:15,17,18,21,23
13:3,3 15:10,10	11:13 14:9,23	23:2	16:4,5,8,14,20
15:13,16,25 16:7	certified 25:3	concerning 19:21	17:15,18,19,21,25
16:13 19:20,20	change 20:5 23:12	concluded 24:8	18:8,12,17,24
21:10,11	changed 8:23 18:4	conference 2:1,6	19:4,10,12,17
barefoot's 6:8	18:9 20:12	5:4,10 6:3,5,11	20:3,16 21:8,8,9
based 16:8 20:20	changes 24:2	23:7	21:12,13,16,19,22
basis 22:22	chicago 3:21	confirmation	21:24 22:11,16,20
bear 5:12	circuit 18:12	14:10	23:14,19,25 24:1
began 19:12	21:22,24	consent 20:1	court's 9:3
behalf 2:3 5:6	circumstances	consistent 22:17	courts 23:16
6:20 10:4 16:24	8:23 20:6 22:25	constitute 7:22	covered 16:9
20:23	claim 14:8	14:25	covers 19:18
belabor 21:12	claims 7:8,14 9:22	contemplated	covid 9:2
believe 6:14 8:22	14:9 17:11 18:6	12:4 22:18	creates 20:3
9:3 17:12 18:9,20	21:3	contemplation	cure 7:13 9:10,22
20:19	clarify 8:24 16:25	16:3	13:2 19:6,18
benefit 11:17	cleanly 18:10	continue 13:7	22:15,19
binding 19:11	clear 10:12 14:7	contract 11:23	currently 18:14
binds 17:12	15:21 17:1 23:10	12:3 15:6	d
bloomingdale	clearly 6:13 7:5	cooperation 5:13	
4:18	9:8 17:19	core 16:1 22:15	d 1:22 5:1
bolingbrook 3:13	cleary 3:3 5:3	corp 6:2	damages 18:6
boughton 3:12	8:17 12:10 15:11	corporation 1:7	date 18:16 25:25
bound 17:4	clerk 5:8	correct 9:19 15:21	dated 2:3,5 6:3,6
bowling 1:13	clerk's 23:8,9	19:3	day 23:19
briefly 19:21	clerks 5:9	cost 9:22 11:3	days 17:19,20
broadway 4:10	code 7:13 8:5,9	couched 10:10	18:15
brought 16:3 21:7	combination 12:2	counsel 6:4,6	deadline 21:15
busy 23:18	come 14:3	13:21 18:10	deal 10:16 11:2,19
buttons 5:17	coming 10:25	counsel's 12:12	12:1,21,22 16:21
	community 2:4	counsels 20:24	19:7
c	6:6	country 25:21	dealing 16:11,20
c 3:1 5:1 8:8 11:19	complaint 18:5,14	couple 16:24	23:15
11:20,25 12:14	21:17,18 22:2,5,9	course 20:2	dealt 11:22
		COMICC 4U.4	111 10
19:15,16 25:1,1	22:10,13 23:14		debtor 1:9

[debtors - good]

debtors 7:10,21	6:7,7,20 7:23 8:6	equitable 20:22	finished 5:10
7:24 14:24 17:2	10:2,4,25 11:2,4	equivalent 11:14	first 7:19 14:21
17:10 19:8	12:12,20,24 13:21	especially 17:3	17:17 21:7,12,15
decade 9:24	15:1 16:11,17	18:11	22:7
decided 13:4	17:3,5,10,18,24	estate 14:9	floor 4:10
22:20	18:3,13 19:1,9,13	et 6:2	florey 3:15 5:5,5
declaratory 18:5	20:24 21:21	exactly 13:20	6:8,19,20 13:21
decree 20:1	district's 7:20	examination	18:23,23 20:23,23
defense 16:15	10:22 13:5 14:23	12:13	follow 9:17
defer 7:9 14:13	20:18	example 10:22	foregoing 25:3
deferred 16:11	document 2:6 7:5	11:7,25	forgave 14:12
delay 18:2 20:25	drain 1:22 6:1	exchange 6:15	forum 15:21
22:12	drain's 5:9	exclusive 15:23	forums 13:25
delayed 18:12,15	dramatically 24:1	exist 11:18	forward 9:3
20:12	drive 11:10	existing 6:16	four 17:23 20:10
delays 9:2 18:18	drops 17:7	exists 11:14	fourth 18:13
depends 14:21	duplicative 11:3,5	expectations 20:7	21:18
describing 20:25	11:6	expense 10:21	full 11:13
designation 13:12	e	11:3	fundamental 11:1
detailed 21:1	e 1:21,21 3:1,1,12	explain 8:20	13:19 20:5
determination	5:1,1 25:1	10:24	funds 14:8,12
12:18 21:8	earliest 23:21	extension 7:3	further 18:12
determinative	ecf 2:1	extensive 10:20	20:15 22:12
9:19		13:14	a a
	economic 11:17		g
determine 7:16	economic 11:17 ecro 1:25	extent 7:23 8:6	
8:11 9:7,11	ecro 1:25	extent 7:23 8:6 10:11 12:2	g 4:6 5:1
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24		
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11	10:11 12:2 f	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7	10:11 12:2	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12	10:11 12:2 f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous 20:25	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16 elect 11:11	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12 13:5	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10 go 18:24 21:2
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous 20:25 dismiss 22:7	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16 elect 11:11 eliminate 13:5	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12 13:5 file 18:1,13 21:6	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10 go 18:24 21:2 going 9:24 11:10
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous 20:25 dismiss 22:7 disputes 7:13	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16 elect 11:11 eliminate 13:5 employment 9:24	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12 13:5 file 18:1,13 21:6 filed 17:24 19:1	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10 go 18:24 21:2 going 9:24 11:10 11:16,24 12:1
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous 20:25 dismiss 22:7 disputes 7:13 distributions 17:9	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16 elect 11:11 eliminate 13:5 employment 9:24 enforcement	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12 13:5 file 18:1,13 21:6 filed 17:24 19:1 filing 22:13	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10 go 18:24 21:2 going 9:24 11:10 11:16,24 12:1 17:15 21:2,2
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous 20:25 dismiss 22:7 disputes 7:13 distributions 17:9 district 1:2 2:4	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16 elect 11:11 eliminate 13:5 employment 9:24 enforcement 15:20 20:20	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12 13:5 file 18:1,13 21:6 filed 17:24 19:1 filing 22:13 final 13:12	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10 go 18:24 21:2 going 9:24 11:10 11:16,24 12:1 17:15 21:2,2 22:11 24:3
8:11 9:7,11 determined 9:8 developer 8:3 directions 13:22 disagree 12:11 disclosure 24:4 discovery 9:23 11:22 12:11,15 16:21 21:23,25 22:1 discrete 18:22 disingenuous 20:25 dismiss 22:7 disputes 7:13 distributions 17:9	ecro 1:25 eda 7:12,14,18,24 8:2,3,7 9:9,11 12:4 14:8,12 17:7 17:7,13 efficiency 7:7 22:22 efficient 18:21,24 efforts 18:20 either 6:22 8:12 9:13 21:4 22:16 elect 11:11 eliminate 13:5 employment 9:24 enforcement 15:20 20:20 engage 21:24	f f 1:21 8:5 9:9 12:14 19:15 25:1 fact 18:11 20:20 facts 11:22 23:12 factual 12:15 far 16:14 17:22 22:21 23:1 favor 10:22 11:12 13:5 file 18:1,13 21:6 filed 17:24 19:1 filing 22:13 final 13:12 financial 8:8 9:11	g 4:6 5:1 gatekeeping 10:15 gensburg 3:18,23 10:3,4,8,19,23,23 11:7,24 13:18,18 14:16 15:2 getting 23:19 give 14:6 given 9:1 giving 23:10 go 18:24 21:2 going 9:24 11:10 11:16,24 12:1 17:15 21:2,2 22:11 24:3 good 5:2,5,8 6:12

[goodbye - live]

goodbye 24:6	i	issue 7:10 9:10,19	kory 4:15,20
gottlieb 3:3 5:3	il 3:13,21 4:18	10:13 11:7,19,20	13:22
6:13 8:17 12:10	illinois 7:8 8:12	15:9,16,22 21:7	l
grant 23:12	9:1,3,7 10:16,17	22:7,15 23:2,17	lake 4:17
green 1:13	13:14 14:2 15:7	issues 7:17,19	language 7:15
greiner 4:1	15:17,21 16:3,12	8:10,15,18,24 9:4	8:21 12:1 14:5
gritty 9:23	16:20 17:16 20:9	9:5,6,13,23 10:14	15:7 16:22 18:25
guess 10:7	21:1,4,16,19	10:15,15,21 11:6	largely 11:10
h	22:20 23:14,24	11:21,21 12:11,19	law 4:15 9:24
half 9:24	24:1	12:21,21,22 13:4	11:23 20:3
hamilton 3:3	impact 12:13	13:16 14:3,14	leave 9:25 17:25
hand 22:22	17:20 18:18 20:14	16:1,2 18:10,22	18:13 21:20
handle 18:21	impacted 9:2	19:5,15 20:8,9	ledanski 2:25 25:3
handled 17:13	implementation	22:19 23:3,15	25:8
18:11 19:6	15:19	\mathbf{j}	left 20:15
happened 21:23	implicates 19:15	job 11:14	legal 25:20
happy 8:20	important 17:6,14	joining 5:11	legislation 12:4,13
hard 14:19	17:21 19:25	judge 1:23 5:9,10	legislature 12:6
hearing 2:1,3	importantly 6:25	5:21,24 6:1,19	length 20:7
18:13,14 23:19	imposed 21:16	8:11 10:19,23	letter 2:3,3,4 6:3,6
24:4	include 22:19	18:17,23 19:16	6:8,22 7:16 21:1
hello 6:1	includes 19:14	20:23 24:7	letters 6:10,15
history 6:24	including 18:18	judgment 15:8,9	liabilities 7:20,22
hold 15:12	incredibly 23:18	21:7 22:6	14:23,25
holdco 3:4 5:3 6:4	indiscernible 5:14	july 22:12	liberty 3:5
6:13 11:8	5:15,17,22,24 6:7	jurisdiction 9:21	lifton 3:10
holdco's 11:16	16:10	15:19,23	light 18:11
holding 6:2 17:9	intended 9:14	k	likelihood 23:19
holdings 1:7	10:16		limit 8:2 9:6
hon 1:22	intends 8:7 10:2	kadish 4:6 13:22	limited 8:17
honor 6:12 8:16	13:20	kanter 3:18 katie 3:16 5:6	lines 23:5
9:16 10:3,8 12:9	intent 12:6		liquidating 7:11
13:3,18 15:2,10	interest 7:7 14:12	keep 5:17,22 19:25	7:11
15:25 16:13,23,25	interpret 8:15		listen 21:3
17:14,16,24 18:11	15:18 16:22	keeping 13:9 ken 5:5 6:19	lists 7:18
19:6,7,20 21:11	interpretation	13:21 18:23 20:23	litigating 21:5
honor's 9:18	9:20 15:20	kenneth 3:15	litigation 8:13
19:21 20:17	involve 11:24	kenneth 3:13 key 7:5	11:3 13:14,15,16
hour 23:18	involved 11:22	know 6:9 7:9 9:13	13:24,25 14:1
hyde 2:25 25:3,8	involving 7:13	10:10,11 12:25	17:16 18:8 19:8
	23:3	13:1 14:7,12	20:12 21:14
	irrelevant 13:16	18:12 21:15	live 10:13
		10.12 21.13	
	77 '4 4 7		

[lic - potentially]			Page 5
llc 6:4,14	motion 14:19 15:8	obligations 20:4	paragraph 13:20
llp 3:3	21:6 22:6,7 23:10	observations	17:4,12
logic 9:18 10:24	23:11	20:18 21:14	parallel 8:12,24
long 24:5	motions 17:25	observed 21:24	9:4 18:20
longer 20:21	move 9:3 18:20	obviously 6:24	part 9:15 17:5,8
look 15:3	moving 15:17	15:22 20:14	19:6 20:25
looking 12:14	mute 5:17,17	october 7:2,4 20:6	partial 15:9 21:6
lot 6:24 13:13	n	offended 16:20	participants 5:21
luke 2:5 3:8 5:2		office 4:15 23:8,9	participated 5:16
6:13 8:16 12:9	n 3:1 5:1 25:1	okay 6:18,23 8:19	particularly 9:1
13:3 15:10 19:20	name 5:8,20	9:25 10:7 13:17	parties 6:16 7:6
m	nature 12:2 20:1	14:15 15:15 19:4	8:21 9:5 12:3,16
	20:9,13	19:17 22:11	15:5 18:2,4,18,21
m 3:15	naught 13:15	old 25:21	20:2,21 21:5,22
major 23:13	necessary 18:4	once 5:23	21:24 23:4
making 17:9	need 9:23 11:19	ongoing 9:1	person 12:17
man 19:16	16:21	operative 22:1,5,9	perspective 12:10
materially 9:4	negotiated 8:22	22:13	13:9 19:24
12:18	negotiating 13:23	opportunity 22:6	phrased 22:13
matt 10:3,23	negotiation 14:6	22:8	place 13:25 14:1
13:18	negotiations 17:2	order 7:1,3,21	plan 14:10
matter 1:5 6:25	neither 12:10	8:22 9:20 13:11	play 21:13,13
matters 23:20	new 1:2,14 3:6 4:4	13:13,20,23 14:5	plaza 3:5
matthew 3:23	4:11	14:24 15:18,20	pleadings 15:8
mean 10:18 15:24	nicholas 3:10	16:17,18,19 17:1	22:6
18:25	night 24:5	17:17 18:25 19:7	please 5:12,15,16
meeting 11:15	nitty 9:23	19:23 20:4 21:20	5:18,20 15:14
memorialized	noise 5:18	22:12,18,24	pm 24:9
20:21	note 21:21	ordered 7:1,6	point 13:4 14:7,21
merely 21:24	notwithstanding	15:5	15:14 16:18 19:21
met 11:15 21:15	7:15 8:21	orders 6:24	21:10 22:12,15
michael 4:13	number 9:19,25	original 18:5	pointed 21:6
16:23	16:6	overcome 22:23	pointed 21.0 points 9:18 10:1,5
mind 13:10 19:25	numbers 9:24	22:24	22:21 23:22
mindful 5:15,16	ny 1:14 3:6 4:4,11	override 9:9	position 12:12
mineola 25:23	25:23	owing 16:15,16	20:18
minimum 11:13	0	owing 10.13,10	positions 6:17
minutes 5:12	o 1:21 5:1 25:1	p	
moment 10:1	objection 7:17 8:7	p 3:1,1 5:1	possibility 11:15
money 17:9	9:15,17 16:10	p.c. 3:18 4:1,8	
month 13:1	19:1,6,14,18,18	pages 24:5	posture 19:22
moot 9:6	objections 10:5	pandemic 17:20	potentially 13:14 15:17
morning 5:2,5,8	14:10	20:14	13.1/
6:12,19 10:3	17.10		
	X7 '4 4 T	10.14	

nibit A:
Page 6
:3 12:12,19,24 :5,21 14:23 :1 16:11,17 :3,10,18 18:2 :25 19:9,13 :18,24 21:21 vartz 3:10 5:6
s 1:7 6:2 11:8 :14 14:11 nd 21:18 :18
ndly 17:14 fon 7:12 8:5,8 15:6 22:22,25 s 7:15
rate 9:10 rately 7:2 6:16
ing 17:10 t 5:12 tly 5:24 vs 17:4
12:18 s 10:18,20 al 23:11 ed 15:4 oly 11:18 21:6
iltaneously :1 19:5 21:2
17:5 ng 23:14 ntion 9:2 :18
down 21:3 tions 5:16 :20 ewhat 10:10
y 19:10

			C
precedence 8:25	read 24:4	respect 11:25	11:3 12:12,19,24
preclude 9:12	reading 16:8 23:5	respond 13:4	13:5,21 14:23
predicted 20:15	really 10:15 12:18	15:13	15:1 16:11,17
prejudice 14:10	15:5 20:1 21:9	responding 22:9	17:3,10,18 18:2
14:11	reasonably 13:6	response 2:4 6:8	18:25 19:9,13
prepare 24:3	reasons 8:21	retained 15:19	20:18,24 21:21
pressed 14:19	rebates 12:5	retains 9:21	schwartz 3:10 5:6
pretty 23:10	recognize 7:6	return 14:11,13	6:20
price 4:8 16:24	record 23:7 25:4	review 11:23,24	sears 1:7 6:2 11:8
prior 9:22	recorder 5:22	reviewed 6:5,23	11:14 14:11
prioritize 13:24	referenced 6:16	revisiting 19:22	second 21:18
probably 23:20	referred 16:9	right 5:11 12:20	23:18
problem 13:19	regard 23:10	12:25 14:8,22	secondly 17:14
23:13	reiterate 22:3	15:3 19:2,15	section 7:12 8:5,8
procedural 19:22	reject 11:11	23:18	see 15:6 22:22,25
procedures 13:10	related 2:6	rights 8:2 9:9	seeks 7:15
proceed 6:11 22:1	relates 17:1	17:11	separate 9:10
proceedings 24:8	release 7:20,22	ring 24:3	separately 7:2
25:4	14:23,25	road 3:12 25:21	sets 6:16
product 14:6	relevant 14:2	robbins 3:10 5:6	settling 17:10
progress 9:5 20:8	21:14	6:20	short 5:12
20:12,16	relief 18:5 20:13	robert 1:22	shortly 5:24
progressed 21:20	23:11	rogers 3:16	shows 17:4
22:4	rely 15:6	rule 14:19 20:4	side 12:18
prompt 23:22,24	remembers 17:16	23:11	sides 10:18,20
prompted 6:3	render 13:16	ruled 10:21	signal 23:11
provisions 8:1,3,4	renew 22:14	rules 11:12	signed 15:4
16:18	reopening 23:15	ruling 7:10 14:13	simply 11:18 21:6
purpose 17:12	represent 5:7	20:3 21:19	simultaneously
purposes 17:8	request 8:17	ry 5:8	14:1
pursuant 7:12	22:14 23:9	S	sit 19:5 21:2
pursue 10:2 13:7	requested 6:5	s 2:6 3:1 5:1	sites 17:5
putting 17:20	21:22	sanctity 22:23	sitting 23:14
q	requesting 2:5	saving 19:17	situation 9:2
quickly 12:23	8:25	scenarios 12:7	11:18
quiet 21:3	require 12:11,12	schedule 22:9	slowdown 21:3
	12:15	scheduled 18:15	solutions 5:16
r	required 17:25	schein 4:13 16:23	25:20
r 1:21 3:1 5:1 25:1	18:3 21:19	16:24 19:3,5,11	somewhat 10:10
raise 22:6	requirement	school 2:4 3:11,19	sonya 2:25 25:3,8
raised 7:17 10:5	11:14	4:2,16 5:7 6:7,7	sorry 19:10
16:16 18:10	resolution 8:23	6:20 7:19,23 8:6	sort 8:25
rdd 1:3	9:4,5	10:2,4,22,25 11:1	
	Vonitoret I oc		

[sought - york]

[sought - york]			1 age 7
sought 20:13	t	track 5:23 8:12,24	undo 22:11
sound 17:7	t 3:23 25:1,1	18:21	unenforceable 8:4
southern 1:2	table 9:22	transcribed 2:25	unit 2:4 6:6
speak 5:19,20	take 9:21 11:9	transcriber 5:22	united 1:1,12
speaking 5:18,23	13:25 14:1,7 20:7	transcript 23:8	unknown 1:25
special 13:15	talk 24:6	25:4	unmute 5:19
specifically 6:3		transform 3:4 5:3	updates 6:9,15,22
18:6	talking 16:6 tax 12:5	6:4,13 7:15,18,23	V
started 18:9	taylor 3:10	7:24 8:11 9:19	
state 5:20 9:24	taylor 3.10 tee 22:7	11:8,16 12:20	various 23:1 vedder 4:8 16:24
11:12 17:19,25	teed 10:17 20:8	13:6,11 15:1,6	veritext 25:20
18:8,16 20:16	23:2	18:19 21:15,16,25	village 4:9 16:24
21:8,13	telephonic 2:1,6	transform's 8:2	
stated 7:7	telephonically 3:8	13:9 18:10,20	17:4,5,6,7 18:6,19 21:25
statement 24:4	3:15,16,23 4:6,13	tries 11:8	
states 1:1,12 7:5	4:20	true 25:4	W
22:15	terms 11:9 12:4	trust 7:11	w 4:17
status 2:6 5:4	12:14 20:6	trustee 7:11	waiting 18:16
statute 11:25	thank 5:13,23,25	trying 11:2,2	waive 10:9
stay 8:25	8:16 24:7	13:13	waived 16:19
steen 3:3	therewith 12:6	turn 14:21	waiver 7:20,22
stipulation 7:1,4,6	thing 17:5 19:19	two 6:10 8:1 9:18	14:23,25 22:19
7:21 8:22 9:20	things 13:24	10:1,14,21 11:6	want 5:13 11:16
13:19,23 14:5,22	16:25 18:3	12:19,22 13:25	12:24 21:12 22:3
14:24 15:5,7,18	think 7:4,5 12:15	15:22 16:1,5,6,8	wanted 10:10
16:9,16,18 17:1,3	12:19,22 15:16,25	17:23 21:4,14	13:24 16:25 21:21
17:8 19:23 20:1,4	16:2 17:14,21	22:21 23:23	wants 15:6
22:18,24 23:25	19:25 22:3,23	u	warranted 23:23
strategy 21:5	23:22	u.s. 1:23	way 5:21 18:21
street 3:20 4:17	third 4:3 21:18	ultimately 14:18	19:13
strikes 23:2	thorny 9:23 13:14	uncertain 10:11	went 17:2
strong 11:15	three 7:19 8:6,15	underlying 6:25	west 3:20
substantial 14:6	8:18 9:18 10:1	11:25 22:19	win 14:20
substantially 9:6	23:20,23	understand 5:9	wins 12:20
subsumes 17:7	threshold 7:16	8:10 10:24 14:17	withdraw 13:11
suggests 21:22	8:24	14:18 16:15,17	withdrew 14:9,11
suite 3:12 4:17	time 5:20 10:21	17:15,22 19:23	wording 14:22
25:22	11:13 13:11 17:24	20:17	X
summary 15:9	20:7,11,21 23:4	understanding	x 1:4,10
21:7	23:19	12:2	y
suppose 10:17	told 6:10 17:18	understood 15:25	york 1:2,14 3:6
sure 5:19,20	19:13	16:7	york 1.2,14 3.0 4:4,11
12:25 23:15			7.7,11

18-23538-shl Doc 9192-1 Filed 12/17/20 Entered 12/17/20 22:35:04 Exhibit A: Transcript of May 8 2020 Hearing Pg 34 of 34

[zumalt - zumalt] Page 8

	Z
zumalt	3:16 5:6